



3611

Practitioner's Docket No. 62,827-015

PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Paonessa

Application No.: 10 001,663

Group No.: 3611

Filed: 10/23/2001

Examiner: unknown

For: METHOD OF PREPARING AIR BAG MODULE AND VEHICLE  
SUPPORT FOR FINAL PROCESS POSITIONINGCommissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

MAY 06 2003

GROUP 3600

## STATUS INQUIRY

**WARNING:** Submission of a status letter after a Notice of Allowance may subect an application to a reduction in patent term adjustment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG 111-112, June 26, 2001.

1. More than 18 months have passed since☒ NEW APPLICATIONSthe filing of this application on 10/23/2001.

No communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office indicating action on this application.

☐ AMENDED APPLICATIONS

the filing of a response on \_\_\_\_\_.

No further communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office.

☐ APPEALED APPLICATION

The Appeal Brief was filed on \_\_\_\_\_.

**CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10\***(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory;  
Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

## MAILING

☒ deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner of Patents,  
Washington, D.C. 20231

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

☒ with sufficient postage as first class mail.

37 C.F.R. § 1.10\*

☐ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"

Mailing Label No. \_\_\_\_\_ (mandatory)

Signature

Date: 4/28/03Lori Tersigni

(type or print name of person certifying)

\* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Status Inquiry [9-3]—page 1 of 3)

(check and complete applicable items below)

- ☐ An Examiner's Answer was mailed on \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ ALLOWED APPLICATIONS
- the mailing of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on \_\_\_\_\_

2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate box below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.

NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as follows:

**"NEW APPLICATION**

"Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.

"Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.

"Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.

**"AMENDED APPLICATIONS**

"Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."

  
SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Reg. No.: 43,167

Adam B. Strauss

(type or print name of practitioner)

Dykema Gossett PLLC

Suite 300

P.O. Address

39577 Woodward Avenue

Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304

Customer No.:

|||||

26127

Patent Trademark Office

(Status Inquiry [9-3]—page 2 of 3)

**STATUS INQUIRY REPLY****RECEIVED****MAY 06 2003****GROUP 3600**

APPLICATION SERIAL NO. 0 / \_\_\_\_\_ IS CURRENTLY

- ☐ ASSIGNED TO GROUP \_\_\_\_\_ AND AWAITS:
- ☐ ACTION BY THE EXAMINER.
- ☐ APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED \_\_\_\_\_

APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_

- ☐ IS AWAITING ACTION BY THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES
- ☐ DATE OF HEARING EXPECTED \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ DECISION EXPECTED \_\_\_\_\_